AIPIVT

AI was renamed. Your board still needs a number.

A one-page answer for the board that asks for your superintelligence plan.

What changed: a US executive order of 29 September 2026 directs federal agencies to write "Super Intelligence" (SI) where they wrote "artificial intelligence". It defines SI as the same technology the law already calls AI, and it does not bind private companies.

What did not change: the systems you run, what they cost, who owns them, the EU AI Act's definition of an AI system, and the definitions in your contracts.

The answer, filled in

1. Systems in production today: _____. Zero is an answer. A pilot is not a system in production.
2. The one workflow that already moves money: _____.
3. Its baseline today: volume _____ per month, cycle time _____, cost per unit _____.
4. The number agreed before any build: _____, measured against that baseline, by _____ (date).
5. The person who owns it after go-live: _____.
6. Where prompts, documents, and outputs are allowed to go: _____.
7. What we are not doing: renaming anything, starting a program, or running a pilot without a target.

What the board asks next

8. Are we behind? Behind is measured in systems in production with a number attached, not in what a company calls them.
9. Should we rename our products to SI? A rename changes no line on the P&L. If you sell to US federal agencies, their documents may say SI; the definitions in your contract decide what applies, and counsel reads them.
10. Is superintelligence something new? In research the word means systems that outperform people at nearly every task. The order uses it for today's AI. Treat a vendor's "SI" as today's AI until an eval on your own task says otherwise.
11. What does it cost? The baseline decides. A price set before the baseline is a guess.
12. When do we see impact? First measurable impact is structured for 4–8 weeks against the baseline. That is a methodology target, calibrated in assessment.

AIPIVT. This page is not legal advice.